Detection of transient communication signals in weakly electric fish

Sina Prause, Alexander Wendt, and Patrick Weygoldt

Supervised by Till Raab & Jan Benda, Neuroethology Lab, University of Tuebingen

A

Introduction

The time-frequency tradeoff makes reliable signal detecion and simultaneous sender identification of freely interacting individuals impossible. This profoundly limits our current understanding of chirps to experiments with single - or physically separated - individuals.



Chirps during competition



Chirp detection



Interactions at modulations

C

- $\triangle$EODf does not appear to decrease during synchronous modulations ().
- Individuals that rise their EODf first appear to rise their frequency higher compared to reactors (**B**).
- Synchronized fish keep distances below 1 m (**C**) but distances over 3 m also occur (see **movie**).
- Spatial interactions increase **after** the start of a synchronous modulation (**D**).

Conclusion

- Our analysis is the first to indicate that *A. leptorhynchus* uses long, diffuse and synchronized EODf signals to communicate in addition to chirps and rises.
- The recorded fish do not exhibit jamming avoidance behavior while close during synchronous modulations.

Contact: {name}.{surname}@student.uni-tuebingen.de