

Physiologically inspired model of the grasshopper auditory system

Jona Hartling, Jan Benda

1 The sensory world of a grasshopper

Strong dependence on acoustic signals for ranged communication

- Diverse species-specific sound repertoires and production mechanisms
- Different contexts/ranges: Stridulatory, mandibular, wings, walking sounds
- Mate attraction/evaluation, rival deterrence, loss-of-signal predator alarm
- Elaborate acoustic behaviors co-depend on reliable auditory perception

Songs = Amplitude-modulated (AM) broad-band acoustic signals

- Generated by stridulatory movement of hindlegs against forewings
- Shorter time scales: Characteristic temporal waveform pattern
- Longer time scales: High degree of periodicity (pattern repetition)
- Sound propagation: Signal intensity varies strongly with distance to sender
- Ectothermy: Temporal structure warps with temperature
- Sensory constraints imposed by properties of the acoustic signal itself

Multi-species, multi-individual communally inhabited environments

- Temporal overlap: Simultaneous singing across individuals/species common
- Frequency overlap: No/hardly any niche speciation into frequency bands
- "Biotic noise": Hetero-/conspecifics ("Another one's songs are my noise")
- "Abiotic noise": Wind, water, vegetation, anthropogenic
- Effects of habitat structure on sound propagation (landscape - soundscape)
- Sensory constraints imposed by the (acoustic) environment

Cluster of auditory challenges (interlocking constraints → tight coupling):

From continuous acoustic input, generate neuronal representations that...

- 1)...allow for the separation of relevant (song) events from ambient noise floor
- 2)...compensate for behaviorally non-informative song variability (invariances)
- 3)...carry sufficient information to characterize different song patterns, recognize the ones produced by conspecifics, and make appropriate behavioral

decisions based on context (sender identity, song type, mate/rival quality)

How can the auditory system of grasshoppers meet these challenges?

- What are the minimum functional processing steps required?
- Which known neuronal mechanisms can implement these steps?
- Which and how many stages along the auditory pathway contribute?
- What are the limitations of the system as a whole?

How can a human observer conceive a grasshopper's auditory percepts?

- How to investigate the workings of the auditory pathway as a whole?
- How to systematically test effects and interactions of processing parameters?
- How to integrate the available knowledge on anatomy, physiology, ethology?
- Abstract, simplify, formalize → Functional model framework

2 Pre-split pathway: Population pre-processing

Filtering of behaviorally relevant frequencies by tympanal membrane

- Bandpass 5-30 kHz

Extraction of signal envelope (AM encoding) by receptor population

- Full-wave rectification + lowpass 500 Hz

Logarithmically compressed intensity tuning curve of receptors

- Decibel transformation

Spike-frequency adaptation in receptor and interneuron populations

- Highpass 10 Hz

3 Post-split pathway: Feature extraction

Template matching by individual ascending neurons

- Separate convolution with each of a set of Gabor kernels
- Pathway splitting: Single population response into several separate branches
- Expansion into a higher-dimensional sound representation

Thresholding nonlinearity in ascending neurons (or further downstream)

- Step-function (or sigmoid) threshold
- Binarization of response values into "relevant" vs. "irrelevant"

Temporal averaging by neurons of the central brain

- Lowpass 1 Hz
- Finalized set of slowly changing kernel-specific features

- Different (species-specific) songs are characterized by a distinct combination of feature values

**4 Pre-split intensity invariance:
Logarithm-highpass mechanism**

**5 Post-split intensity invariance:
Threshold-lowpass mechanism**

6 Conclusion and outlook